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ABSTRACT
This article explains how to avoid business scandals, fraud, and the organization's potential civil and criminal culpability. It is a corporate positive governance image that improves a company’s reputation and makes it much better for investors, customers, and suppliers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Suppliers, trade creditors, debt holders, customers, shareholders, and communities affected by the corporation's activity are the primary external stakeholder groups in today's corporate corporations.

The board of directors, executives, and other employees are all internal stakeholders. It ensures that a business is managed and governed in a responsible, professional, and transparent manner in order to ensure its long-term success. L. Donaldson and J. H. Davis, 1994. A governing corporation is managed by a governing body.

The manner in which firms are directed and controlled as per corporate governance. (1992, Cadbury Committee). It is a system of connections among a board of directors, shareholders, other stakeholders, and company's management, that deals with anticipating or mitigating stakeholder conflict. The kind and level of accountability of individuals in the organization, as well as methods that attempt to reduce the principal–agent issue, are essential themes in corporate governance.

II. THE VALUE OF CORPORATE MANAGEMENT

Uncertainty and risk characterize today's business climate, making it tougher to foresee and control the concrete and intangible aspects that drive presentation. (In such a changing environment, boards play a critical role in the successful operation of organizations.

Adverse selection and moral risk are inefficient, because these measures and controls of corporate governance are therefore designed to reduce them altogether. For example, a dependent third party (the external auditor) information security presented by management to investors in order to monitor managers' behavior. Both motivation and ability should be regulated by an ideal control system.

According to the Cadbury Committee in connection with the Maxwell pension scandal, we recognized that corporate governance was not a new concept at all and that people had recognized the significance of corporate governance, that is, responsibility in the management of money and the performance of commercial activities, as long as there has been business on a large scale. With globalization increasing the extent of trade as well as the size and complexity of organizations and the bureaucracy built to try to control it, the relevance of corporate governance and internal regulation has grown as external regulation becomes more difficult. The following points demonstrate the significance of corporate governance.

- The majority of well-trained directors are chosen or appointed in the context of corporate governance because prevention is better than cure, and thus understanding of corporate principles and have experience. Hill, Field, & Eckel (2001); Banks and Bart (2003); Hill, Green, and Eckel (2001).
- Boards are also in charge of initiating organizational change and facilitating processes that advance the organization assignment (Andrews, K. R. 1980).
- The legal structure is as follows: More regulation has plainly failed; instead, we need smarter legislation that ensures firms see the need for corporate governance as an integrated aspect of management rather than a checkbox exercise.
- The ability of the corporate governance board to monitor the firm's information access function. According to Hillman, Canella, and Paetzold (2000), administrative directors have a greater understanding of the management
Process and, as a result, evaluate topmost controlling on the feature of their decisions that lead to financial improvement, resource provision, and resource access (Hillman, Canella & Paetzold, 2000).

- Integrity: a company's board of directors and management carry out their responsibilities in an ethical manner. Selection puts together a similar idea business leaders and board associates. Firms should make a models and conduct of code for that encourages being mystical and irresponsible. Clearness they must continue in the organization's material matters disclosure, which must be timely and balanced pure, informed access to all investors.

- Today's topic: the bonus culture: improved corporate governance and remuneration practices in financial institutions may have prevented the credit crunch and following financial crisis.

III. THEORETICAL OVERVIEW

A variety of theoretical viewpoints are used in order to explain corporate governance and its challenges. Corporate governance was defined in the United Kingdom as "a framework by which firms are directed and governed in the Cadbury Report, 1992, page 15. Recently as a result of large numbers of corporate Good Corporate Governance has become a global concern.

3.1. The Role of Non-executive Directors in Corporate Governance

The Cadbury Committee report defined corporate governance as the framework by which it was established that companies should be directed and governed. Governing their companies is considered an important responsibility of their board of directors. The shareholder is given a role to appoint directors. There are two categories of directors known on the board: non-executive and executive. The functions of all executive directors are to set the company's strategic objectives, oversee the administration of the firm, and report to shareholders on their leadership and lead them into action. (W. Q. Judge 1992. Andrews, K. R., 1980). Non-executives are part-time employees who perform a variety of tasks, acting including as the company's chairperson and serving on key committees such as the Remuneration, Audit Committees, and Nominations.

Nonexecutive directors are seen as "protectors" of the company's interests and serve as "buffers" between the executive board and the company's outside shareholders. (L. V. Sison and B. H. Kleiner, 2001).

3.2. Non-Executive Directors are Required

1. External commercial non-executive directors can provide useful knowledge about company proceedings. The non-executive directors are able to spot the threats and opportunities in the company, the executives of the company are often busy with the day-to-day operations, tend to miss out.
2. When a company's executive chairman or chief executive officer is exceptionally entrepreneurial, non-executive directors can play an important role or rein in excesses.
3. An important legal and commercial guarantee they are considered to be the integrity and accountability of the company. The decisions that non-executive individuals can make independently are considered to protect the interests of individuals investing in the company.

Some businesses require non-executives to guide them through periods of corporate transformation, such as ownership changes, business repositioning, and so on. On the other hand, nonexecutive directors must be aware that their impact may be limited. Other obligations are more than likely. And they are only employed part-time. As a result, may be unable to devote sufficient time to truly understand the demands of the organization and present events. There is a shortage of non-executive deputy commissioners the skills to understand highly technical and complicated business concerns on a part-time basis. They may, in particular, be lacking in information. Christopher Pass.

3.3 The Cadbury Committee and Made the Following Significant

The performance and resources, non-executive directors must make independent decisions on strategy, key appointments and conduct standards. Most non-executives, in addition to their own fees and shareholding, must be independent of management and free from any business or other relationship. Their pay should be commensurate with the time they devote to the organization. Who may physically interfere with the exercise of their decisions.

1. A number of non-executive directors and sufficient capacity should be included on the board of directors "their opinion is of considerable importance in the decision of the board."
2. The Cadbury Committee advised that the company's roles be clarified. In its place of being controlled wholly by a single person, the Chief Executive and the Chairman should be separated.
3.4 Report of the Hempel Committee Offered the Following Suggestions  
a) Placement of "senior" non-executive assistants to coordinate between the non-executive and executive boards.  
b) At least suitable for non-executive tasks total sub division.  
c) Directors non-executive should make up the majority membership of a corporation, committee members, and team of accounts.

3.5 Difference of Empirical Results and Companies  
The presidents of major publicly traded US firms are elected by the CEO's board, and the chairman or CEO often holds the chairman of the board position for himself, making it more difficult for institutional owners to him outright. While this is a prevalent practice in the United States, the practice of a CEO also acting as chairman of the board of directors is referred to as "duality". Ray in the United Kingdom, the ordinal code of best practice advises against dualism. This is uncommon elsewhere.

Firm features and presentation measures for dual and non-dual CEO enterprises are compared. Most of the factors show significant differences. Dual-CEO enterprises have a larger board of directors in terms of corporate governance systems, implying that they have inferior governance and an ineffective board. Surprisingly, dual-CEO enterprises had higher CEO ownership, which may be necessary to better support the interests of the shareholders and the CEO. Which means more external supervision is needed to address the agency problem due to the increased power of dual CEOs. Dual CEO enterprises also have strong institutional ownership and financial leverage. Similarly, we discovered that dual-CEO organizations have a comparatively high number of independent directors. The findings suggest that dual CEO enterprises may face insufficient board governance; however, different mechanisms (CEO ownership, institutional investor monitoring, creditors, further independent board members, and so on) may come into play and lower the agency costs associated with CEO duality. The findings show that dual-CEO firms' agency costs are comparable to those of non-dual CEO enterprises.

Regulators and investors in many nations, including the United States, are increasingly proposing that CEO and chairmen functions be separated. The connection among CEO duality and ownership characteristics, firm characteristics, agency costs, and business performance is explored. Our experimental findings provide definitive answers to the study's questions. Among dual and non-dual CEO enterprises, we discover significant variations in company characteristics. Our multivariate experiments, on the other hand, show little evidence that CEO duality has a meaningful impact on company success. It's worth noting that we find endogeneity in CEO duality, implying that, given company characteristics and ownership structure, occurs from formed structure and form.

3.6 A Theoretical and Empirical Examination

3.6.1 According to social capital theory, diversified more likely to have no overlapping external team members connections, resulting in more lucrative opportunity structures and greater access to external resources for the team.

3.6.2 The cognitive resource diversity theory proposes promote information-processing capability, that rich and diverse input of heterogeneous team members enhance the creative ability of the team as well as resulting in better making decision quality (Jackson, 1992; Gong, 2006;).

3.6.3 According to signalling theory, a varied team will appeal to stakeholders' interests, generating support and boosting top management team the legitimacy actions and choices. The link among TMT age and firm presentation is expected to be nonlinear and negative. However, if correctly handled, the relationship could become positive TMT could rescue the un productive consequences of demographic faultiness and thereby improve performance.

However, these theories do not help to explain "the psychological and social mechanisms that drive executive behaviour" or open the black box Hambrick, 2007. A review and three empirical essays used to address the research topic from several perspectives. The essential lesson is that the relationship between the age of the top management team and company performance is non-linear, recursive, context-sensitive, and can be together direct and indirect moderating. Each of our three empirical pieces conceptualises and measures contextual impacts in some way. This demonstrates an excellent approach to explaining why, rather than just that, age matters to firm performance. The average age of TMTs and firm presentation have a U-shaped connection.

3.7. Features of the Board

- Employees, creditors, local communities, investors, customers, suppliers, and policymakers are examples of non-shareholder stakeholders who have legal, social, contractual, and market-driven commitments to organizations.

- Businesses should establish the code of conduct encourages for their directors and officers ethical and responsible decision-making. They must ensure transparency in the disclosure of material information about the company. A good corporate governance framework develops methods for achieving responsibility among the board of directors, senior
management, and shareholders while safeguarding the interests of key stakeholders. It also establishes the system by which the organization's power structure is determined.

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS ARRANGEMENT AND COMPOSITION

The Board's Membership In order to develop the board structure variable, it is required to identify the variables that best characterize the structure of the board. The selected companies have considered numerous construct the board arrangement variable.

4.1 Outside Director Proportion
Because outside directors are financially independent and have a different self-interest than inside directors, they are able to exert a greater influence on management. As a result, they are better able to protect the interests of shareholders than inside directors (Bonnet al., 2005). The increased share of non-executive directors on boards has been acknowledged as a reason for improved performance due to more creative solutions to environmental challenges, balance of power, and a variety of perspectives (Bonn, 2004). As a result, the percentage of outside directors is positively related to corporate success.

4.2 Composition and Performance of the Board
It is determining the nature of the BOD within financial service businesses, and descriptive statistics were used to do so. The following descriptive statistics were gathered with the goal of determining the nature of board characteristics as well as the state of ROA and MB ratio within Sri Lankan financial services businesses. (Ranasinghe, D. N., 2010).

4.3 Board Dimensions
According to Bonn (2004), the board of directors’ performance is determined by the level of consent that the board can achieve based on its level of competence and knowledge. Bhagat and Black (Bhagat & Black, 2000). The majority of researchers believe that larger boards will achieve greater results. S. W. Barnhart, M. W. Marr, and S. Rosenstein (1994); Barnhart, S. W., Marr, M. W., and Rosenstein, S. Smaller boards, on the other hand, are more likely to agree on a specific conclusion (Lange et al., 2000) and engage in actual interactions than bigger boards. J. Gabrielsson. The effectiveness of a smaller or larger board can be accomplished; different investigations reached different outcomes in different settings. According to Fernando, the average number of board members on a Sri Lankan company's board in 2007 was 7.56. We may say that the size of the board of directors has a good relationship with the performance of the company. (Ranasinghe, D. N., 2010).

V. CONCLUSION

Corporate scandals, fraud, and potential legal and criminal responsibility can all be avoided with good corporate governance. It is also profitable. Image a positive corporate governance improves a company's reputation and makes it more attractive to investors, and suppliers customers.

1. Non-executive directors should be of sufficient calibre and number on the board to have a meaningful impact on the board's choices.
2. To ensure that the company's direction and control are firmly in its hands, the board should establish a formal schedule of topics exclusively earmarked for its consideration.

Managing Directors
1. A remuneration committee comprised entirely or primarily of non-executive directors shall make recommendations on executive director compensation.
2. Without shareholder agreement, directors' employment contracts shall not exceed three years.
3. Separate data for pay and performance-related factors should be provided, as well as an explanation of how performance is measured.

Control and Reporting
1. The audit committee should maintain an objective and professional relationship with the auditors.
2. The efficacy of the company's internal control system should be reported to the board of directors.
3. It is the board's job to explain the company's viewpoint in a balanced and intelligible manner.
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